## State of California AIR RESOURCES BOARD

## EXECUTIVE ORDER D-225-33 Relating to Exemptions Under Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code

## CRANE CAMS, INC. FORD INTERCEPTOR II DOWNSTREAM ENGINE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Air Resources Board by Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code; and

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned by Sections 39515 and 39516 of the Health and Safety Code and Executive Order G-45-5;

IT IS ORDERED AND RESOLVED: That the installation of the Ford Interceptor II Downstream Engine Management System (Interceptor II) manufactured by Crane Cams, inc. of the Fentress Blvd., Daytona Beach, Florida 32114 has been found not to reduce the effectiveness of the applicable vehicle pollution control system and, therefore, is exempt from the prohibitions of Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code for 1986 to 1993 Ford trucks powered by a 302 or 351 CIT V-S gasoline engine.

This Executive Order is valid provided that the installation instructions for the Interceptor will not recommend tuning the vehicle to specifications different from those submitted by Crane Cams, Inc.

Changes made to the design or operating conditions of the Interceptor, as exempt by the Air Resources Board, which adversely affect the performance of a vehicle's pollution control system shall invalidate this Executive Order.

Marketing of the Interceptor II using any identification other than that shown in this Executive Order or marketing of the Interceptor II for an application other than those listed in this Executive Order shall be prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Air Resources Board. Exemption of the Interceptor II shall not be construed as exemption to sell, offer for sale or advertise any component of the kit as an individual device.

This Executive Order does not constitute any opinion as to the effect that the use of the Interceptor II may have on any warranty either expressed or implied by the vehicle manufacturer.

This Executive Order is granted based on results from emissions tests conducted in accordance with Cold-Start CVS-75 Federal Test Procedure. However, the Air Resources Board finds that reasonable grounds exist to believe that use of the Interceptor II may adversely affect emissions of motor vehicles when operating under conditions outside the parameters of the previously prescribed test procedures. Accordingly, the Air Resources Board reserves the right to conduct additional emission tests, in the future, as such tests are reveloped, that will more adequately measure emissions from CRANE CAMS, INC.

EXECUTIVE ORDER D-225-33 (Page 2 of 2)

all cycle phases. If such test results demonstrate that the Interceptor II adversely affects emissions during off-cycle conditions (defined as those conditions which are beyond the parameters of the Cold-Start CVS-75 Federal Test Procedure), this Executive Order shall be effectively rescinded as of the date the test results are validated. Further, if such test results or other evidence provides the Air Resources Board with reason to suspect that the Interceptor II will affect the durability of the emission control system, Crane Cams, Inc. shall be required to submit durability data to show that the durability of the vehicle emission control system is not, in fact, affected and/or that the add-on or modified part demonstrates adequate durability.

In addition to the foregoing, the Air Resources Board reserves the right in the future to review this Executive Order and the exemption provided herein to assure that the exempted add-on or modified part continues to meet the standards and procedures of Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 2222, et seq.

THIS EXECUTIVE ORDER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CERTIFICATION, ACCREDITATION, APPROVAL, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ENDORSEMENT BY THE AIF RESOURCES BOARD OF CLAIMS OF THE APPLICANT CONCERNING ANTI-POLLUTION BENEFITS OR ANY ALLEGED BENEFITS OF CRANE CAMS' INTERCEPTOR II.

No claim of any kind, such as "Approved by the Air Essturces Ebard" may be made with respect to the action taken herein in any covertising or other oral or written communication.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall be grainds for revocation of this order. The order may be revoked only after ten day written notice of intention to revoke the order, in which period the holder of the order may request in writing a hearing to contest the proposed revocation. If a hearing is requested, it shall be held within ten days of receipt of the request and the order may not be revoked until a determination after hearing that grounds for revocation exist.

Executed at El Monte, California, this 25

ia∵ c<u>f</u>

R. B./Summerfield Assistant District Chief Mobile Source Livision