(Page 1 of 3)

#### State of California AIR RESOURCES BOARD

# EXECUTIVE ORDER D-446-1 Relating to Exemptions Under Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code

# KNIGHT ENGINEERING ICEMAN COOL AIR SYSTEM

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Air Resources Board by Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code; and

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned by Section 39515 and Section 39516 of the Health and Safety Code and Executive Order G-45-9;

IT IS ORDERED AND RESOLVED: That the installation of the Iceman Cool Air System, produced and marketed by Knight Engineering, 39360 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, #107, East Palmdale, California 93550, has been found not to reduce the effectiveness of the applicable vehicle pollution control systems and, therefore, is exempt from the prohibitions of Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code for the 1997-2000 Ford F-150 5.4 liter, 1997-2000 Ford F-150 4.6 liter, and 2000 Ford Focus (p/n FV89700, F469700, & FCS2000, respectively).

The Iceman Cool Air System consists of intake tubing, assorted brackets, and hardware.

This Executive Order is valid provided that the installation instructions for the Iceman Cool Air System will not recommend tuning the vehicle to specifications different from those of the vehicle manufacturer.

Changes made to the design or operating conditions of the Iceman Cool Air System, as exempt by the Air Resources Board, which adversely affect the performance of the vehicle's pollution control system shall invalidate this Executive Order.

This Executive Order shall not apply to any Iceman Cool Air System advertised, offered for sale, sold with, or installed on, a motor vehicle prior to or concurrent with transfer to an ultimate purchaser.

### KNIGHT ENGINEERING ICEMAN COOL AIR SYSTEM

#### EXECUTIVE ORDER D-446-1 (Page 2 of 3)

Marketing of the Iceman Cool Air System using any identification other than that shown in this Executive Order or marketing of the Iceman Cool Air System for an application other than those listed in this Executive Order shall be prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Air Resources Board.

This Executive Order does not constitute any opinion as to the effect the use of the Iceman Cool Air System may have on any warranty either expressed or implied by the vehicle manufacturer.

This Executive Order is granted based on a determination that the Iceman Cool Air System will not adversely affect tailpipe emissions when tested under the Cold Start CVS-75 Federal Test Procedure. No systems that affect the Cold Start CVS-75 or running loss emissions are bypassed in installing the Iceman Cool Air System.

Should future test data or other evidence provide the ARB with reason to suspect that the Iceman Cool Air System will affect the durability of the emission control system, Knight Engineering, shall be required to submit durability data to show that the durability of the vehicle emission control system is not, in fact, affected and/or that the add-on or modified part demonstrates adequate durability.

In addition to the foregoing, the ARB reserves the right in the future to review this Executive Order and the exemption provided herein to assure that the exempted add-on or modified part continues to meet the standards and procedures of Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 2222, et seq.

THIS EXECUTIVE ORDER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CERTIFICATION, ACCREDITATION, APPROVAL, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ENDORSEMENT BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD OF ANY CLAIMS OF THE APPLICANT CONCERNING ANTI-POLLUTION BENEFITS OR ANY ALLEGED BENEFITS OF KNIGHT ENGINEERING'S ICEMAN COOL AIR SYSTEM.

No claim of any kind, such as "Approved by the Air Resources Board", may be made with respect to the action taken herein in any advertising or other oral or written communication.

# KNIGHT ENGINEERING ICEMAN COOL AIR SYSTEM

## EXECUTIVE ORDER D-446-1 (Page 3 of 3)

Violation of any of the above conditions shall be grounds for revocation of this order. The order may be revoked only after a ten-day written notice of intention to revoke the order, in which period the holder of the order may request in writing a hearing to contest the proposed revocation. If a hearing is requested, it shall be held within ten days of receipt of the request and the order may not be revoked until a determination is made after the hearing that grounds for revocation exist.

Executed at El Monte, California, this  $\frac{1}{74}$  day of October 2000.

R. B. Summerfield, Chief Mobile Source Operations Division