State of California AIR RESOURCES BOARD

EXECUTIVE ORDER D-601-1

Relating to Exemptions Under Section 27156 of the California Vehicle Code

Flyin' Miata Randall Cowl Intake Duct

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Air Resources Board by Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code; and

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned by Section 39515 and Section 39516 of the Health and Safety Code and Executive Order G-14-012;

IT IS ORDERED AND RESOLVED: That the installation of the Randall Cowl Intake Duct, manufactured and marketed by Flyin' Miata, 499 35 Road, Palisade, Colorado 81526, has been found not to reduce the effectiveness of the applicable vehicle pollution control systems and, therefore, is exempt from the prohibitions of Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code for the following Mazda applications:

Part No. Application

05-27000 1990-93 Model Year 1.6L Miata and 1994-97 Model Year 1.8L Miata 05-28000 1999-05 Model Year 1.8L Miata

The Randall Cowl Intake Duct is a direct fit carbon fiber intake tube that is attached between the stock airbox and the vehicle's firewall, identical to stock in location and function. The stock intake tube and the Randall Cowl Intake Duct are designed to capture intake air which feeds into the stock air cleaner box from an open space below the windshield. The air cleaner housing and air intake tubing between the air cleaner housing and the throttle body remain stock and unmodified. Installation required the enlargement of the stock fresh air hole located on the firewall. The Randall Cowl Intake Duct has no mechanical or electrical user adjustments. No changes are made to any component of the stock engine for installation, including engine calibration.

This Executive Order is valid provided that the installation instructions for the Randall Cowl Intake Duct will not recommend tuning the vehicle to specifications different from those of the vehicle manufacturer.

Changes made to the design or operating conditions of the Randall Cowl Intake Duct as exempt by the Air Resources Board, which adversely affect the performance of the vehicle's pollution control system shall invalidate this Executive Order.

Marketing of the Randall Cowl Intake Duct using any identification other than that shown in this Executive Order or marketing of the Randall Cowl Intake Duct for an

application other than those listed in this Executive Order shall be prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Air Resources Board.

This Executive Order does not constitute any opinion as to the effect the use of the Randall Cowl Intake Duct may have on any warranty either expressed or implied by the vehicle manufacturer.

This Executive Order is granted based on an engineering evaluation and information supplied by Flyin' Miata on the Randall Cowl Intake Duct. Comparisons made between the stock and modified part demonstrated similarity in function, the part is installed before the stock air filter box, no sensors or metering devices are located on the stock or modified part, the part is installed mainly for cosmetic reasons.

The Air Resources Board reserves the right in the future to review this Executive Order and the exemption provided herein to assure that the exempted add-on or modified part continues to meet the standards and procedures of Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 2222, et seq.

THIS EXECUTIVE ORDER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CERTIFICATION, ACCREDITATION, APPROVAL, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ENDORSEMENT BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD OF ANY CLAIMS OF THE APPLICANT CONCERNING ANTI-POLLUTION BENEFITS OR ANY ALLEGED BENEFITS OF THE RANDALL COWL INTAKE DUCT.

No claim of any kind, such as "Approved by the Air Resources Board", may be made with respect to the action taken herein in any advertising or other oral or written communication.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall be grounds for revocation of this order. The order may be revoked only after a ten-day written notice of intention to revoke the order, in which period the holder of the order may request in writing a hearing to contest the proposed revocation. If a hearing is requested, it shall be held within ten days of receipt of the request and the order may not be revoked until a determination is made after the hearing that grounds for revocation exist.

Executed at El Monte, California, this _____ day of October 2016.

Annette Hebert, Chief Emissions Compliance, Automotive Regulations and Science Division